



August 12, 2016

Congressional Committees

Congressional Award Foundation: Review of the Audit of the Financial Statements for Fiscal Year 2015

This report presents the results of our review of the Congressional Award Foundation's (Foundation) fiscal year 2015 financial statement audit. The Congressional Award Act established the Congressional Award Board to carry out a program to promote excellence among the nation's youth. The Foundation was created to assist the Congressional Award Board in carrying out this program. The Congressional Award Act, as amended by the Government Reports Elimination Act of 2014, requires the Foundation to obtain an annual financial statement audit from an independent public accountant (IPA).¹ It also requires the Comptroller General of the United States to annually review the audit of the Foundation's financial statements and report the results to the Congress.

The Foundation received an unmodified opinion from an IPA on its fiscal year 2015 financial statements.² The IPA found that the Foundation's financial statements were presented fairly, in all material respects, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The IPA also reported that for fiscal year 2015, it did not identify any (1) deficiencies in internal control that the IPA considered to be material weaknesses³ or (2) reportable noncompliance with selected provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements it tested.⁴ However, the IPA identified a significant deficiency in internal control related to cash disbursements

¹From fiscal years 1986 through 2014, the Congressional Award Act mandated that GAO perform an annual audit of the financial statements of the Foundation. On November 26, 2014, the Government Reports Elimination Act of 2014 was enacted, which amended the Congressional Award Act to repeal GAO's annual requirement to audit the Foundation's financial statements. See Pub. L. No. 113-188, § 902, 128 Stat. 2016. Beginning in fiscal year 2015, the Foundation was required to contract with an IPA for an audit of the Foundation's financial statements. Therefore, the fiscal year 2014 audit was our final audit under the Congressional Award Act. We reported the results of our fiscal year 2014 audit in GAO, *Financial Audit: Congressional Award Foundation's Fiscal Years 2014 and 2013 Financial Statements*, [GAO-15-552](#) (Washington, D.C.: May 15, 2015).

²The Foundation's financial statements consist of the statements of financial position, statements of activities, statements of cash flows, and accompanying notes to the financial statements.

³A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis.

⁴U.S. generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS), issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, incorporate U.S. generally accepted auditing standards and constitute the professional standards under which the Foundation's financial statement audit was to be performed. For an audit of financial statements performed in accordance with GAGAS, the auditor is required to report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance with provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, or grant agreements that have a material effect on the financial statements, regardless of whether the auditor identifies internal control deficiencies or instances of noncompliance. GAGAS does not require the auditor to express opinions on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting or compliance.

cutoff.⁵ The Foundation did not disagree with the IPA report's conclusions, including the conclusion related to the significant deficiency. In addition, the IPA reported that it conducted its audit and prepared its report in accordance with U.S. generally accepted government auditing standards.

Objective, Scope, and Methodology

Our objective was to review the financial audit of the Foundation's fiscal year 2015 financial statements. To satisfy this objective, we performed the following procedures:

- We read and considered the following with respect to the IPA's independence, objectivity, and qualifications:
 - the results of the IPA's most recent peer review,⁶ dated February 23, 2015, which indicated a passing rating;⁷
 - the request for proposal the Foundation sent out when seeking an audit organization to conduct the audit of its financial statements;
 - the proposal submitted by the IPA;
 - the IPA's certification of independence;
 - the engagement letter between the IPA and the Foundation, which described the responsibilities of the IPA and those of the Foundation and set forth the scope and objectives of the audit service;⁸
 - résumés of key audit team members; and
 - documentation related to the continuing professional education of the IPA staff members assigned to the Foundation audit.
- We analyzed key audit planning documentation focusing on the IPA's assessment of the risk of material misstatement in the Foundation's financial statements, the audit procedures developed in response to this assessment, and the key audit completion documents summarizing the results and conclusions reached by the IPA.
- We read the Foundation's fiscal year 2015 financial statements, the IPA's audit report on those financial statements, the IPA's report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance, and the IPA's schedule of findings and responses. We also met with IPA

⁵A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

⁶GAGAS requires each audit organization to have an external peer review conducted at least once every 3 years by reviewers independent of the organization being reviewed. The external peer review should determine whether, during the period under review, the reviewed audit organization's internal quality control system was suitably designed and whether quality control policies and procedures were being complied with to provide the audit organization with reasonable assurance that it conforms with applicable professional standards.

⁷There are two types of peer reviews: system reviews and engagement reviews. System reviews focus on an audit organization's system of quality control, while engagement reviews focus on work performed on particular selected engagements. An audit organization can receive a rating of pass, pass with deficiency(ies), or fail. The IPA's audit organization received a rating of pass on a system review.

⁸An engagement letter describes the objectives and scope of the work performed and includes a reference to the professional standards governing the conduct of the engagement.

representatives and Foundation management officials to discuss matters pertinent to our objective.

Our review, as differentiated from an audit of the financial statements, was not intended to enable us to express, and we do not express, an opinion on the Foundation's financial statements or conclude on the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting. Furthermore, we do not express an opinion on the Foundation's compliance with laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements. The IPA is responsible for its reports on the Foundation dated April 25, 2016, and the conclusions expressed therein.

We conducted this performance audit from April 2016 to August 2016 in accordance with U.S. generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective.

No Significant Issues Identified Related to the Audit of the Foundation's Financial Statements for Fiscal Year 2015

Based on the procedures we performed regarding the IPA's financial audit of the Foundation's fiscal year 2015 financial statements, we did not identify any significant issues related to the financial statement audit that we believe require attention.

Agency Comments and Third-Party Views

We provided a draft of this report to the Foundation and the IPA for review. In the Foundation's written comments, reprinted in the enclosure, the Foundation's National Director and Audit Chairman stated that they were pleased that GAO did not identify any significant issues related to the audit of the Foundation's fiscal year 2015 financial statement audit that required attention. In addition, the IPA provided its views through e-mail, in which the IPA's Audit Principal stated that the IPA had no comments in regard to our report.

- - - - -

We are sending copies of this report to the National Director of the Congressional Award Foundation and other interested parties. In addition, the report is available at no charge on the GAO website at <http://www.gao.gov>.

If you or your staffs have any questions about this report, please contact me at (202) 512-3406 or malenichj@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this report. GAO staff members who made key contributions to this report include Anne Sit-Williams (Assistant Director), Stephanie Choi, Lauren S. Fassler, Lisa Motley, and Carrie Wehrly.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "J. Lawrence Malenich". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "J" and a long, sweeping underline.

J. Lawrence Malenich
Director
Financial Management and Assurance

Enclosure

List of Committees

The Honorable Ron Johnson
Chairman

The Honorable Thomas R. Carper
Ranking Member
Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
United States Senate

The Honorable John Kline
Chairman
The Honorable Robert C. Scott
Ranking Member
Committee on Education and the Workforce
House of Representatives

The Honorable Jason Chaffetz
Chairman
The Honorable Elijah Cummings
Ranking Member
Committee on Oversight and Government Reform
House of Representatives

Enclosure: Comments from the Congressional Award Foundation



Public Law 96-114, The Congressional Award Act

Congressional Award

July 29, 2016

Mr. J. Lawrence Malenich
Director, Financial Management and Assurance
U.S. Government Accountability Office
441 G Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20548

Mr. Malenich,

This letter is in response to your review of the financial audit of the Congressional Award Foundation's financial statements for fiscal year 2015.

As noted in your report, the Foundation received an unmodified opinion on the financial statements from the independent public accountant (IPA) who also found that the financial statements were presented fairly in all material respects, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The Foundation will continue its efforts to improve operations and internal controls over financial reporting.

We are pleased that the GAO did not identify any significant issues related to the audit of the Foundation's fiscal year 2015 financial statement audit that require attention. We appreciate the feedback and assistance of the GAO in strengthening the Foundation and its operations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'E. Blansitt'.

Edward L. Blansitt
Audit Chairman

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Erica W. Heyse'.

Erica W. Heyse
National Director

379 Ford House Office Building • Washington, DC 20515 • (202) 226-0130 • FAX: (202) 226-0131
Mailing Address: Post Office Box 77440 • Washington, DC 20013

(100817)

Text of Enclosure: Comments from the Congressional Award Foundation

Page 1

Congressional Award

Public Law 96-114. The Congressional Award Act

July 29, 2016

Mr. J. Lawrence Malenich

Director, Financial Management and Assurance

U.S. Government Accountability Office

441 G Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20548

Mr. Malenich,

This letter is in response to your review of the financial audit of the Congressional Award Foundation's financial statements for fiscal year 2015.

As noted in your report, the Foundation received an unmodified opinion on the financial statements from the independent public accountant (IPA) who also found that the financial statements were presented fairly in all material respects, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The Foundation will continue its efforts to improve operations and internal controls over financial reporting.

We are pleased that the GAO did not identify any significant issues related to the audit of the Foundation's fiscal year 2015 financial statement audit that require attention. We appreciate the feedback and assistance of the GAO in strengthening the Foundation and its operations.

Sincerely,

Edward L. Blansitt

Audit Chairman

Erica W. Heyse

National Director

379 Ford House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515 • (202) 226-0130 • FAX: (202) 226-0131

Mailing Address: Post Office Box 77440 • Washington, DC 20013